### Unsteady Loading Tidal Turbine Benchmarking Project

Dr Sam Tucker Harvey<sup>1</sup>, Dr Xiaosheng Chen<sup>1</sup>, Dr Kaushal Bhavsar<sup>2</sup>, Dr Tom Allsop<sup>2</sup>, Dr Hannah Mullings<sup>3</sup>, Prof. James Gilbert<sup>2</sup>, Prof. Tim Stallard<sup>3</sup>, Dr Christopher Vogel<sup>1</sup> and Prof. Richard Willden<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Engineering Science, University of Oxford <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Science and Engineering, University of Hull

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mechanical, Aerospace and Civil Engineering, University of Manchester

08/09/2021



#### Benchmarking Project: Overview and Objectives

- Unsteady loading and the inability to confidently predict unsteady loading and / or quantify errors drives unnecessary redundancy and design conservatism.
- Objective:
  - improve accuracy of modelling techniques,
  - improve confidence in the use of modelling techniques,
  - quantify modelling errors for different techniques under different loading scenarios.
  - development of novel measurement techniques
- Approach:
  - Conduct a large laboratory test of a well instrumented tidal turbine in waves and current to provide underlying data,
  - Conduct a series of community wide (academia and industry) blind prediction exercises with staged data release.



Inspection of Sustainable Marine Energy Plat-I turbine blades [1]







\$@\$\$\*~

OF HULL







## Benchmarking Project: Turbine requirement

- Validation data sets (MEXICO, NREL IV etc) exist for wind.
- Whole rotor and whole blade data sets (Bahaj, Ifremer etc) exist for tidal, i.e. rotor torque/thrust and blade root bending.
- To understand and be able to predict the impact of waves and turbulence on blade loads we need a higher data resolution in the form of **spanwise loading distributions**.
- Require **in-blade sensing** (strain gauges, optic fibres).
- Critically important to achieve **Reynolds number** independence.
- **1.6m diameter 3 bladed rotor** with in-blade sensing.















# Benchmarking Project: Facility and Tests

- Require **low blockage** experiments with a **large diameter** rotor for sensing and **Reynolds independence**.
- Flume options blockage too high
- Tow tank low blockage but turbulence too low
- Solution: tow tank with an upstream turbulence grid
- Test conditions:
  - a) Uniform flow
  - b) Uniform flow + Waves
  - c) Uniform flow + Grid generated turbulence

















### Agenda

- 1. Turbine design / blade hydrodynamics
- 2. Blade instrumentation
- 3. Test facility
- 4. Turbulence grid and flow characterisation
- 5. Details of benchmarking exercise
- 6. Timeline
- 7. Open Discussion

















# Turbine Design: Overview

- 3 bladed tidal rotor
- Top mounted (carriage-mounted for towing tank experiment)
- 0.2m nacelle diameter provides  $d_n/D$  of 0.125.
- Nacelle diameter increased to 0.248m to accommodate large Moog servo motor





# Turbine Design: Overview

- Two blades instrumented with strain gauges at six radial locations in both edgewise and flapwise directions.
- Remaining blade instrumented with fibre Bragg sensors with similar resolution.
- Individual blade loads measured with hub – integrated root bending sensors (flap and edge).
- Torque and Thrust measured by shaft mounted transducer upstream of front bearing.
- Shaft rotary encoder for speed and position on low speed side.
- Motor and encoder on high speed side.





### Blade Hydrodynamics: Profile Selection

- Single foil selected for entire blade span.
- NACA 63-415 and 63-815 investigated as candidate foils
- Investigation of the use of a trailing edge thickening and truncating functions.





- 2D RANS simulations with SST turbulence model
- Thickening function provides coefficients much closer to the sharp trailing edge result
- NACA 63-415 profile selected with constant thickening function, i.e. single foil section along blade span.



#### Blade Hydrodynamics: Design Simulation,

- RANS BE design simulation with target local loading of  $C_x = 2$  and  $\alpha$  to achieve max foil  $C_L/C_D$
- Cylindrical domain blockage matched to QinetiQ facility, B = 3.05%
- Inlet conditions:
  - $U_{\infty} = 1 \, m/s$
  - Tu = 8%, Lt = 1.12 m



### Blade Hydrodynamics: Rotor Simulation

- Detailed 3D flows in hub and tip regions analysed and local modifications to twist and chord made to improve flow quality.
- RANS simulation with rotating frame of reference.
- $1/3^{rd}$  mesh, 38M elements, k $\omega$ -SST turbulence model.







U/U

1.5

0.5 0 -0.5 -1 -1.5



Isosurfaces of  $\lambda_2$  vortex criterion

### **Turbine Design: Instrumented Blades**

- Two blades instrumented with strain gauges at six radial locations
- Flapwise bending moment at locations 1-6, edgewise bending moments at locations 1-5
- Locations chosen to capture BM variation. ٠
- Instrumentation to r/R of 0.94 flapwise and r/R of 0.88 edgewise.



Energy

OF HULL

The University of Manchester





### Turbine Design: Instrumented Blades

- Instrumentation slot tapered and twisted around and along blade Neutral Axis.
- Sloped interface to maximise contact surface for slot cover adhesion.
- Post instrumentation final blade CNC once slot cover adhered followed by anodizing.









# Turbine Design: Strain Gauging

- Strain gauge positions chosen to minimise cross talk between measurement directions.
- Full bridge configurations for both edgewise and flapwise measurements.
- Total 100 strain gauges; 44 in each blade, 4 in each blade root.









## Turbine Design: Fibre Bragg System

- Four independent fibres mounted into blades with FBG sensors positioned at measurement locations
- Fibre either side of sensor is adhered to the internal surface of the slot with epoxy
- Two additional fibres provide To instruct temperature sensing to compensate for thermal effects





### Turbine Design: Fibre Bragg System





## Turbine Design: Fibre Bragg System

- Sensors located only on pressure and suction sides of internal slot due to size constraints
- To minimise the influence of shear strain the fibre either side of the FBG sensor is adhered with a 12.5mm gap around the sensor



















# Tow Tank Facility

- QinetiQ towing tank facility, Haslar, Portsmouth UK
- 270m (L) x 12.2m (W) x 5.4m (D)
- Speeds of up to 12.25m/s and drag loads up to 5kN
- Target tow speed 1m/s
- Tow length approx. 150m
- Settling time ~15mins





## Turbulence Grid Design

- Size:  $1.5D \times 1.5D = 2.4 \times 2.4$  m
- Variable porosity (adjust number of bars)
- Torsion bars used to resist drag force
- Use of pin joints allows the turbulence grid to rotate between a horizontal and vertical configuration





### Turbulence Grid: Flow Characterisation

- Flow velocity measured with 3 Acoustic Doppler Velocimeter (ADV) probes
- ADV positions to be adjusted to obtain a horizontal, vertical and diagonal profile each with 6 points



### Turbulence Grid: Flow Characterisation

- Acoustic Doppler Velocimeters require seeding particles to calculate flow velocities
- The large volume of towing tanks prohibits uniform seeding of the entire tank (17.8 million Litres - £130,000 in seeding material!)
- Targeted seeding injected with a pump at the measurement location along the tank prior to test run.
- Approx.  $0.8 m^3$  seeded fluid pumped per seeding cycle. Total of around  $10 m^3$  of seeded fluid injected.





Offshore Renewable Energy









Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council

#### Turbulence Grid: Flow Characterisation

- Minimum streamwise velocity of 0.913  $U_\infty$  at centre of turbulence grid
- Average value of 0.917  $U_\infty$  across turbine with  $\pm 0.5\%$  variation
- Turbulence intensity can be defined as,

$$I_i = \frac{u_{i\,\mathrm{rms}}'}{\sqrt{\bar{u}_x^2 + \bar{u}_y^2 + \bar{u}_z^2}}$$

• Streamwise turbulence intensity across turbine between 2.9% and 4.3% with 3.5% mean



Figure: vertical profile of streamwise velocity measured close to the location of the turbine plane.



#### Benchmarking: Provided Data / Test Conditions

- Turbine geometry:
  - 3D CAD data of nacelle and tower
  - 2D aerofoil sections / chord and twist distributions
  - 2D aerofoil data
  - Blade 3D CAD data
- Turbulence grid geometry:
  - 3D CAD data
- Test conditions:
  - TSR range / turbine speed and carriage velocities
  - Wave conditions
  - Flow data from turbulence grid characterisation









\$\$\$\$







### Benchmarking: What will be compared?

- Integrated variables:  $(C_p \text{ and } C_t)$  over the full TSR range
- Blade loads:
  - Edgewise and flapwise root bending moments
  - Edgewise and flapwise bending moment distributions
- Turbine wake flow measurements: TBC
- Wave measurements:
  - Surface elevation above turbine plane
  - Additional measurement locations TBC















Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council

# **Benchmarking:** Participation

- **Community Project**: all academic and industry modellers are welcome to take part in the benchmarking exercises.
- We are looking for participation across the whole suite of modelling techniques:
- BEM, Blade resolved CFD, RANS-BE, Actuator Line, Vortex methods and others.
- This is not a competition! The objective is to **quantify errors** and limits of applicability of different modelling techniques and application of those techniques, **to build confidence**.
- Two workshops with staged release of data:
  - Workshop 1 Uniform flow at low and higher turbulence levels
  - Workshop 2 Turbine in waves
- Final open access release of all data.
- How to participate? Email <u>Richard.willden@eng.ox.ac.uk</u> to register your interest in participating in the benchmarking exercise including what modelling techniques you intend to use





### Benchmarking project webpage

- A webpage on the Supergen website will host all information / links to repository for the benchmarking project
- URL: <u>https://supergen-ore.net/projects/tidal-turbine-benchmarking</u>



Engineering and

**Physical Sciences** 

**Research Council** 

#### Timeline

